

THE STATE TRADING CORPORATION OF INDIA LTD.:NEW DELHI

VIGILANCE DIVISION

STC/COVIG/01022/2017

19.4.2017

Circular No.06/2017

Sub: Seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications  
Central Information Commission's decision-regarding

The Central Vigilance Commission (CVC) vide Circular No.03/03/2017 dated 10.3.2017 has advised that all CPIOs/Appellate Authorities of the Organizations may consider the Central Information Commission's (CIC's) decision dated 25.6.2014 in case No.CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA (Shri Ramesh Chand Jain Vs. Delhi Transport Corporation, GNCTD, Delhi) while deciding about the RTI Applications seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications. The complete decision in above mentioned case is available on website, [www.cic.gov.in](http://www.cic.gov.in).

2. The Central Information Commission, in its decision, had observed that:-

*"The Commission noticed that several applicants seek some information from one wing of the public authority and based on the responses file a bunch of RTI questions from the same or other wings of same public authority, or from other authority. This will have a continuous harassing effect on the public authority. As the PIOs go on answering, more and more questions are generated out of the same and in the same proportion the number of repeated first appeals and second appeals will be growing."*

3. The Commission after considering various aspects of the issue and the provisions of acts of similar nature in other countries, and also the decisions of earlier Information Commissioners has concluded that :-

*"(i) Even a single repetition of RTI application would demand the valuable time of public authority, first appellate authority and if it also reaches second appeal, that of the Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty."*


Contd....2..

- (ii) *Every repetition of RTI application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act."*

4. The Central Information Commission (CIC) vide its decision No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 has thus, decided that :-

- " (i) No scope of repeating under RTI Act.  
(ii) Citizen has no Right to Repeat.  
(iii) Repetition shall be ground of refusal.  
(iv) Appeals can be rejected."*

5. A copy of CVC's above Circular is enclosed herewith for information and guidance.

  
(Arvind Kumar Kadyan)  
Chief Vigilance Officer

Encl: As Above

To

- PS to CMD
- All Directors
- All CGMs/All Divisional Heads
- BMs/VOs



सत्यमेव जयते

Telegraphic Address :  
"SATARKTA: New Delhi

E-Mail Address  
cenvigil@nic.in

Website  
www.cvc.nic.in

EPABX  
24600200

फैक्स/Fax : 24651186



केन्द्रीय सतर्कता आयोग  
CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION

सतर्कता भवन, जी.पी.ओ. कॉम्प्लेक्स,  
ब्लॉक-ए, आई.एन.ए., नई दिल्ली-110023  
Satarkta Bhawan, G.P.O. Complex,  
Block A, INA, New Delhi 110023

सं./No. CVC/RTI/MISC/16/006.....

दिनांक / Dated.....10.03.2017.....

Circular No. 03/03/2017

**Subject: Seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications-Central Information Commission's decision- regarding.**

The attention of the CVOs concerned is drawn to the Central Information Commission's decision dated 25.06.2014 in case No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA in the case of Shri Ramesh Chand Jain Vs. Delhi Transport Corporation, GNCTD, Delhi, in which the issue of seeking information by the RTI Applicants through repetitive Applications on similar issues/subject has been considered and decided by the Central Information Commission.

2. The Central Information Commission, in its decision, had observed that:-

*"The Commission noticed that several applicants seek some information from one wing of the public authority, and based on the responses file a bunch of RTI questions from the same or other wings of same public authority, or from other authority. This will have a continuous harassing effect on the public authority. As the PIOs go on answering, more and more questions are generated out of the same and in the same proportion the number of repeated first appeals and second appeals will be growing."*

3. The Commission after considering various aspects of the issue and the provisions of acts of similar nature in other countries, and also the decisions of earlier Information Commissioners has concluded that:-

*"(i) Even a single repetition of RTI application would demand the valuable time of the public authority, first appellate authority and if it also reaches second appeal, that of the Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty."*

Contd...2...

(ii) *Every repetition of RTI application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act."*

4. The Central Information Commission, vide its decision No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 has thus, decided that:-

- (i) *No scope of repeating under RTI Act.*
- (ii) *Citizen has no Right to Repeat.*
- (iii) *Repetition shall be ground of refusal.*
- (iv) *Appeals can be rejected."*

5. The CVOs may bring the above quoted decision of Central Information Commission to the notice of all the CPIOs/Appellate Authorities of their organizations, who may consider the Central Information Commission's decision, while deciding about the RTI Applications seeking similar information through repeated RTI Applications. The complete decision of Central Information Commission, in case No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA, in the case of Shri Ramesh Chand Jain Vs. Delhi Transport Corporation, GNCTD, Delhi is available on its website, [www.cic.gov.in](http://www.cic.gov.in), in downloadable form and can be access from there.



(Rajiv Verma)  
Under Secretary & Nodal CPIO

To,

**All Chief Vigilance Officers**